CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by either electronic submission using the EFS WEB submission system, fax to the U.S. Patent and Trademark office to fax number 571-273-8300, or is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 24, 2009.

/David J. McKenzie/ Attorney for Applicants

> PATENT Docket No RSW920030179US1

> > Group Art

Unit: 2456

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: David M. Oliver

Serial No.: 10/774,651 Conf. No.: 8803

Filed: February 6, 2004

rebluary 0, 2004

PRODUCT FOR ACTIVATING NON-SMS DEVICE | USING CHAT PROTOCOL

Examiner: Yasin M. Bargadle

INTERVIEW_SUMMARY

METHOD, SYSTEM AND COMPUTER PROGRAM |

Mailstop: Amendments

Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

For:

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Examiner:

Applicants wish to express their appreciation for the opportunity to hold a telephone interview with the Examiner. In response to the telephone interview, held on June 24, 2009, Applicants respectfully submit the following summary of the interview.

Interview Summary begins on page 2 of this paper.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

[0001] Applicants express their appreciation to the Examiner for conducting a telephone

interview with Applicants on June 24, 2009. Examiner Yasin M. Barqadle and Applicants'

representatives David J. McKenzie (Reg. No. 46,919) and Scott C. Hilton were present.

[0002] The Examiner and Applicants discussed the rejection of Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C.

§ 103, focusing on the submitted amendments to independent Claim 1 as representative of

similar amendments submitted for Claims 8, 15, 22, and 26. Applicants discussed the elements

of the claimed invention, and Applicants' understanding of the cited art.

[0003] Applicants discussed their understanding of MPEP § 2129, regarding admissions

of prior art, and the Examiner agreed that portions of Applicants' "Description of the Related

Art" are not admitted prior art. Applicants also explained the claimed invention, specifically the

elements of amended Claim 1. Applicants described the "SIA message identifier" and the

"initiation command" of amended Claim 1, and that the claimed invention "automatically

initiat[es] the action from the initiation command." The Examiner agreed that the cited portion

of the MSN reference did not appear to teach these elements, but stated that the amendments

required a closer review of other portions of the MSN reference. The Examiner agreed to contact

Applicants if further questions arise.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 24, 2009

Kunzler & McKenzie 8 E. Broadway, Suite 600 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Telephone: 801/994-4646

/David J. McKenzie/

David J. McKenzie Reg. No. 46,919

Attorney for Applicants

-2-